IN THE MUNICIPAL COURT OF CLARK COUNTY, OHIO

Plaintiffs,

Plaintiffs,

Plaintiffs,

Plaintiffs,

Plaintiffs,

Plaintiffs,

Plaintiffs,

Plaintiffs,

Plaintiffs,

MUNICIPAL COURT

BY

DEPUTY

ENTRY

*

This matter came before the Court on the following *pro se* filings submitted by the defendant: (1) the defendant's Memorandum and Motion to the Court, filed October 24, 2016; (2) the defendant's "Memorandum to address Plaintiff's allegation of Defendant's unauthorized use of Plaintiff's Fireplace", filed November 17, 2016; (3) the defendant's "Memo in dispute of the holes alleged by Plaintiffs' Contractor", filed November 21, 2016; (4) the defendant's "Motion to dismiss photos in defense of the Plaintiff A-4 & A-5", filed November 22, 2016; and (5) the defendant's "Memorandum to address Plaintiff's allegation of Excessive Trash and Filth", filed November 22, 2016.

In these five pro se filings, the defendant asserts a multitude of factual allegations, and with some of them the defendant has submitted evidentiary materials in the form of photographs and digital discs of apparent videos and/or photos. Each of the five filings also contains a prayer seeking an order dismissing the plaintiffs' complaint.

To the extent that the five filings might possibly be construed as motions for summary judgment, they are denied, for the reason that they have been filed without the leave of court required after the case has been scheduled for trial. Rule 56(A), Ohio Rules of Civil Procedure.

To the extent that the five filings are an attempt to introduce evidence outside of the trial, they are inadmissible as evidence, and will be disregarded by the Court. The parties will have the opportunity to present evidence at trial.

Thomas E. Trempe, Judge

Andrew Elder, Attorney for Plaintiffs Margaret Baldino, *Pro se* Defendant

cc:

NOV 3 8 2016

JUDGE THOMAS E. TREMPE CLARK COUNTY MUNICIPAL COURT